

BAY COUNTY BOARD OF CANVASSERS
RECOUNT OF BAY CITY SCHOOL MILLAGE

SEPTEMBER 8, 1988

THE BAY COUNTY BOARD OF CANVASSERS MET ON THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 8TH, 1988 A.M. FOR THE PURPOSE OF ORGANIZING FOR THE RECOUNT WHICH WAS TO BE HELD ON THE BAY CITY SCHOOL MILLAGE PROPOSAL. AFTER THE ORGANIZATIONAL MEETING, THE BOARD OF CANVASSERS PROCEEDED TO RECOUNT ALL ABSENTEE BALLOTS WITHIN THE BAY CITY SCHOOL DISTRICT. THE FOLLOWING INDIVIDUALS WERE PRESENT FOR THE RECOUNT WHICH WAS HELD AT VARIOUS TOWNSHIP HALLS THROUGHOUT BAY COUNTY:

BARBARA ALBERTSON, BAY COUNTY CLERK
CYNTHIA A. LUCZAK, SECRETARY TO THE CLERK
DONALD CHEVALIER, CHAIRMAN
WALTER WOZNIAK, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN
RICHARD BRZEZINSKI, BOARD MEMBER
WALLACE NIELSEN, BOARD MEMBER
MARY IDA DOAN, TALLY CLERK
DELORES NIEDZINSKI, TALLY CLERK
GEORGE MULLISON, BAY CO. PROSECUTOR
MARK KOLKA, ATTORNEY FOR BAY CITY SCHOOLS

IN A MEETING BEFORE THE ACTUAL COUNT OF THE BALLOTS, SOME DISCUSSION WAS HELD ON HOW THE BALLOTS WOULD BE SEPERATED INTO YES, NO, SPOILED, NO/PARTISAN/SPLIT, YES/PARTISAN/SPLIT, AND NON-VOTED BALLOTS FOR EASE OF THE ACTUAL RECOUNT. RICHARD BRZEZINSKI MADE THE FOLLOWING MOTION IN THIS REGARD.

- MOTION 1: IT WAS SO MOVED TO SEPERATE THE BALLOTS FOR ALL VALID VOTES CAST UNLESS CONSIDERED OTHERWISE INVALID BY THE BOARD OF CANVASSERS BY MARKINGS TO THE BALLOT WHICH MAY IDENTIFY THE VOTER. WALTER WOZNIAK SUPPORTED THE MOTION AND IT WAS PASSED BY A VOICE VOTE OF 4 YEAS, 0 NAYS.
- MOTION 2: RICHARD BRZEZINSKI ALSO MOVED THAT IF ANY BALLOTS WERE CHALLENGED, THEY WOULD BE PLACED IN A SEPERATE PILE AND IF SPLIT PARTISAN VALID BALLOTS, WOULD BE FOLLOWED UP ON AS REQUESTED IN THE CHALLENGE. WALLACE NIELSEN SUPPORTED THE MOTION AND IT WAS PASSED BY A VOICE VOTE OF 4 YEAS, 0 NAYS.

KAWKAWLIN TOWNSHIP

THE BOARD OF CANVASSERS, TALLY CLERKS, PROSECUTOR, CLERK, AND CITY ATTORNEY MET AT THE TOWNSHIP HALL FOR THE PURPOSE OF RECOUNTING THE BALLOT PROPOSAL FOR THE SCHOOL MILLAGE. THE CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF CANVASSERS EXPLAINED HOW THE RECOUNT PROCEDURE WOULD BE CONDUCTED, BY SEPERATING THE BALLOTS INTO THE VOTES CAST IN FAVOR OF THE PROPOSITION, VOTES AGAINST THE PROPOSITION, SPOILED BALLOTS, NO/PARTISAN/SPLIT BALLOTS, YES/PARTISAN/SPLIT, AND NON-VOTED BALLOTS. THE CHAIRMAN ALSO ADDED THAT ANY CHALLENGED BALLOTS BE SET ASIDE FOR CONSIDERATION AT THE END OF THE RECOUNT. THE SCHOOL'S ATTORNEY ALSO REQUESTED THAT ANY CHALLENGED BALLOTS BE MARKED EXHIBITS FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION BY NUMBERS. THE CANVASSERS STRESSED THAT A SPLIT TICKET ON THE PARTISAN PORTION OF THE BALLOT WOULD BE CONSIDERED A VALID VOTE SINCE BAY COUNTY USES A BLANKET BALLOT. THE ONLY TYPE OF BALLOT TO BE DISQUALIFIED WOULD BE A MARKED AND OTHER WISE SPOILED BALLOT.

THE BOARD MEMBERS ADDED THAT THERE MAY BE A NUMBER OF BALLOTS WHICH MAY NOT HAVE VOTED THE SCHOOL MILLAGE PROPOSAL SINCE BAY COUNTY CONSISTS OF TWO SCHOOL DISTRICTS. THE KAWKAWLIN ABSENTEE VOTER CAN SEAL NUMBER WAS THEN CHECKED WITH THE POLL BOOK NUMBER OF 584580. THE BAG INSIDE THE CAN WAS OPENED NEXT AFTER CONFIRMING THE SEAL NUMBER 584583 WITH THE POLL BOOK RECORD. THE POLL BOOK INDICATED A TOTAL OF 263 BALLOTS MAILED OUT WITH 236 BALLOTS RETURNED TO THE TOWNSHIP CLERK. UPON REVIEW OF THE BALLOTS, ONE HAD BEEN SPOILED FROM THE START IN THAT THE CORNER OF THE BALLOT HAD NOT BEEN TORN OFF BY THE VOTER HIM/HER SELF, THUS A SPOILED BALLOT. THE BALLOTS WERE THEN COUNTED AND RE-COUNTED IN THEIR DESIGNATED CATAGORIES TO MAKE SURE THAT NONE HAD BEEN MISSED OR HAD BEEN PLACED INTO THE WRONG PILE AS VOTED. ATTNY. MARK KOLKA HAD BEEN INFORMED THAT THE BOARD WOULD BE COUNTING ANY SPLIT BALLOTS AS VALID BALLOTS ON THE ISSUE AS PURSUANT TO THE MICHIGAN ELECTION LAWS. THE FIRST TALLY OF VOTES INDICATED THE RESULTS AS BELOW:

2 VOTES	-	YES/SPLIT/PARTISAN
6 VOTES	-	NO/SPLIT/PARTISAN
31 VOTES	-	IN FAVOR OF PROPOSAL
92 VOTES	-	AGAINST THE PROPOSAL
106 VOTES	-	NON-VOTED
<u>237 VOTES</u>	-	COMBINED

THIS WAS AN ACTUAL COMBINED TOTAL OF 33 YES VOTES, 98 NO VOTES, AND 106 NON-VOTED. SINCE THE TOTAL BALLOTS ACTUALLY COUNTED WAS 237, AND NOT 236 AS INDICATED IN THE POLL BOOK, THE CITY ATTORNEY CHALLENGED THE ENTIRE COUNT OF THE VOTES, INCLUDING THE 8 SPLIT BALLOTS CAST. IN THE ABOVE TOTALS WERE TWO CHALLENGED BALLOTS BY THE CITY ATTORNEY. ONE BALLOT, EXHIBIT ONE, WAS CHALLENGED FOR THE MARKINGS BY THE VOTER AND THE FACT THAT THE BALLOT WAS SPLIT. BALLOT MARKED EXHIBIT TWO FOR REVIEW, WAS ALSO QUESTIONED BY THE MARKINGS MADE BY THE VOTER. THE BOARD OF CANVASSERS FOUND BOTH BALLOTS ACCEPTABLE AND HAD THUS INCLUDED THEM IN THE TOTALS ABOVE. ANOTHER CONFLICT WAS EVIDENCED IN THAT THE RETURN SHEET TOTAL FOR THE NUMBER OF YES VOTES WAS RECORDED AS 133 VOTES. THE ACTUAL COUNT BY THE CANVASSERS HAD BEEN A TOTAL OF 33 VOTES IN FAVOR OF THE PROPOSITION. THE ACTUAL NEW FIGURE OF 33 WAS ACCEPTED SINCE IT WAS AN OBVIOUS ERROR MADE BY THE TOWNSHIP TALLY PERSONS ON ELECTION NIGHT AND SINCE THE FACT THAT THE RECOUNT FIGURES OF VOTES TAKES PRECEDENCE OVER THE FORMER COUNT. COUNTY CLERK, BARBARA ALBERTSON, READ MICHIGAN ELECTION LAW 168.871 WHICH SUPPORTED THE RECOUNT FIGURES SINCE THE BALLOT CAN AND BAG WERE PROPERLY SEALED BY THE TOWNSHIP OFFICIALS. M. KOLKA, ATTORNEY AGAIN STATED THAT HE WOULD CHALLENGE THE ENTIRE COUNT OF THE VOTES SINCE THE POLL BOOK TOTALS AND THE ACTUAL BALLOT FIGURES DID NOT MATCH. WITH THAT FINAL NOTE THE BALLOTS WERE PLACED BACK INTO THE BALLOT BAG AND WERE TO BE SEALED W/ NUMBER 583017 TO CONCLUDE THE RECOUNT. UPON FURTHER INVESTIGATION, THE BOARD OF STATE CANVASSERS RULES NUMBER 168.889 OF ACT 116, P.A. 1954, COMPLIED LAWS OF 1948 NUMBER XXI READ... IN A CASE OF EXCESS BALLOTS, NOT RECORDED IN THE POLL BOOK, THE NUMBER OF NON-VOTED BALLOTS MAY BE OMITTED TO MATCH THE TOTAL OF THE POLL BOOK RECORD. UNDER THAT DIRECTION, THE FORMER BAG SEAL OF 583017 WAS SET ASIDE. ONE OF THE NON-VOTED BALLOTS WITHDRAWN FROM THE COUNT AND MARKED SO, AND THEN RESEALED. THE TOTAL NUMBER OF VOTES WOULD THEN MATCH THE POLL BOOK TOTAL OF 236. THE BALLOT BAG WAS PLACED INTO THE BALLOT CAN AND RESEALED WITH METAL TAG NUMBER 583013, AFTER BEING SEALED WITH BAG SEAL NUMBER 583017, SET ASIDE WHILE THE DISCUSSION WAS HELD.

BEAVER TOWNSHIP

UPON REVIEW OF THE BEAVER TOWNSHIP BALLOT CAN THE METAL SEAL NUMBER 584573 MATCHED THE POLL BOOK. UPON OPENING THE CAN THE BOARD OF CANVASSERS FOUND THE BALLOT CAN IMPROPERLY SEALED WITH METAL TAG NUMBER 584517. THE TAG WAS ON THE BAG BUT THE BAG WAS DEFECTIVE IN THAT IT DID NOT HAVE THE METAL TAB FOR THE ZIPPER PULL TO SECURE THE TAG SEAL. THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BALLOTS MATCHED THE POLL BOOK TOTAL OF 111 UPON AN ENTIRE COUNT OF BALLOTS.

IN REVIEWING THE INDIVIDUAL BEAVER TOWNSHIP BALLOTS, ATTORNEY M. KOLKA CHALLENGED THREE BALLOTS FOR REVIEW OF THE BALLOT MARKINGS BY THE VOTERS. THE BOARD OF CANVASSERS ACCEPTED THE MARKINGS FOR TALLY BY A VOTE OF 4 YEAS, 0 NAYS. THE FINAL BREAK-DOWN OF VOTES WERE THEN RECORDED AS FOLLOWS:

4 VOTES	-	NO/SPLIT/PARTISAN
0 VOTES	-	YES/SPLIT/PARTISAN
10 VOTES	-	IN FAVOR OF THE PROPOSAL
85 VOTES	-	AGAINST THE PROPOSAL
12 VOTES	-	NON-VOTED
<u>111 VOTES</u>	-	COMBINED

THIS WAS AN ACTUAL COMBINED TOTAL OF 10 YES VOTES, 89 NO VOTES, AND 12 NON-VOTED. THE CITY ATTORNEY, MARK KOLKA, EXPRESSED OPPOSITION TO THE 5 SPLIT VOTES BY A CHALLENGE. THIS FACT WAS RECORDED BEFORE ALL MATERIALS WERE PLACED BACK INTO THE BALLOT BAG. SINCE THE BALLOT BAG WAS DEFECTIVE THERE WAS NO SEAL PLACED ON IT BEFORE BEING PLACED BACK INTO THE BALLOT CAN AND SEALED WITH METAL TAG, #583003.

WILLIAMS TOWNSHIP

THE CANVASSERS CONFIRMED THAT THE WILLIAMS TOWNSHIP CAN HAD BEEN PROPERLY SEALED WITH METAL TAG NUMBER 584585. THE BALLOT BAG INSIDE THE CAN WAS ALSO SEALED WITH THE POLL BOOK SEAL OF 584586. THERE WERE A TOTAL OF 131 VOTES RECEIVED ACCORDING TO THE POLL BOOK. THE BOARD OF CANVASSERS EXPLAINED TO THE TOWNSHIP OFFICIALS PRESENT THE PROCEDURE OF COUNTING THE BALLOTS AND THEN DIVIDING THEM INTO THEIR RESPECTIVE DESIGNATIONS. UPON THE REVIEW OF INDIVIDUAL BALLOTS ATTORNEY M. KOLKA CHALLENGED THREE BALLOTS WHICH WERE MARKED EXHIBITS ONE THROUGH THREE. THE MARKINGS MADE BY THE VOTERS WERE IN QUESTION AS TO IF THE LINES OF THE MARKS INTERSECTED IN THE APPROPRIATE VOTING AREA. THE BOARD OF CANVASSERS DETERMINED THAT THE MARKINGS WERE VALID AND EVEN THOUGH ONE OF THE BALLOTS HAD BEEN SPLIT, WERE ALL STILL ACCEPTABLE TO THE BOARD MEMBERS. THE BREAKDOWN OF THE BALLOTS ARE LISTED BELOW:

1 VOTE	-	YES/SPLIT/PARTISAN
8 VOTES	-	NO/SPLIT/PARTISAN
39 VOTES	-	IN FAVOR OF THE PROPOSAL
74 VOTES	-	AGAINST THE PROPOSAL
9 VOTES	-	NON-VOTED
<u>131 VOTES</u>	-	COMBINED

THIS WAS AN ACTUAL COMBINED TOTAL OF 40 YES VOTES, 82 NO VOTES, AND 9 NON-VOTED. THE SCHOOL ATTORNEY CHALLENGED THE NINE SPLIT BALLOTS AS BEING COUNTED IN THE TALLY, FOR THE RECORD. THE BALLOTS AND OTHER MATERIALS WERE PLACED BACK INTO THE BALLOT BAG AND SEALED WITH TAG 583023. SINCE THE CANVASSER WHO SEALED THE BAG HAD ATTACHED THE INCORRECT CERTIFICATE TO THE OUTSIDE OF THE BAG, THE METAL SEAL NEEDED TO BE REMOVED. THE CORRECT CERTIFICATE WAS ATTACHED TO THE BAG AND THEN RESEALED WITH SEAL 583005. THE MATERIALS WERE PLACED INTO THE BALLOT CAN AND SEALED WITH METAL SEAL 583020.

CITY OF AUBURN

THE BOARD OF CANVASSERS INSPECTED BALLOT CAN FOR WILLIAMS TOWNSHIP TO FIND THE CAN HAD BEEN PROPERLY SEALED AND THE CAN SEAL NUMBER MATCHED THE NUMBER AS INDICATED IN THE POLL BOOK. UPON INSPECTION OF THE BALLOT BAG, THE CANVASSERS DISCOVERED THAT THE SEAL NUMBER HAD NOT BEEN PROPERLY RECORDED IN THE POLL BOOK. THE ACTUAL SEAL NUMBER WAS 584506 AND RECORDED IN THE POLL BOOK AS 58506.

THE CITY ATTORNEY STATED THAT HE WOULD ACCEPT THE VOTE OF THE CITY OF AUBURN IF THE TOTAL NUMBER OF BALLOTS MATCHED THE 102 FIGURE AS LISTED IN THE POLL BOOK, EVEN THOUGH THE BAG SEAL NUMBER WAS RECORDED INCORRECTLY BY CLERICAL ERROR, AS DETERMINED BY THE BOARD OF CANVASSERS. THE SEAL ON THE CAN WAS PROPERLY EXECUTED AS WELL AS THE SEAL ON THE BAG. THE CANVASSERS PROCEEDED TO TALLY THE VOTES DESPITE THE ONE DIGIT DIFFERENCE. THE RECOUNT GENERATED QUESTION ON A BALLOT IN WHICH AN INDIVIDUAL HAD SIGNED HER NAME TO THE BOTTOM OF THE BALLOT. THE CANVASSERS DETERMINED THAT SHE WAS NOT AN ELECTION INSPECTOR NOR A POLL WORKER, BUT INDEED A VOTER AS EVIDENCED BY NUMBER 39 ON THE POLL BOOK LISTING OF INDIVIDUAL VOTERS. THE SIGNED BALLOT REVEALED IDENTITY AND WAS DETERMINED A SPOILED BALLOT AS A RESULT. THE BREAKDOWN OF VOTES WERE AS FOLLOWS:

5 VOTES	-	YES/SPLIT/PARTISAN
0 VOTES	-	NO/SPLIT/PARTISAN
1 VOTE	-	SPOILED/REJECTED
42 VOTES	-	IN FAVOR OF THE PROPOSAL
48 VOTES	-	AGAINST THE PROPOSAL
4 VOTES	-	NON-VOTED
<u>100 VOTES</u>	-	COMBINED

THIS WAS AN ACTUAL COMBINED TOTAL OF 47 YES VOTES, 48 NO VOTES, 1 SPOILED, AND 4 NON-VOTED BALLOTS. THE CITY ATTORNEY CHALLENGED THE SPLIT BALLOTS AS WELL AS THE ENTIRE COUNT OF THE VOTES. THE ARGUMENTS TO SUPPORT HIS CLAIM WERE THE FACTS THAT THE POLL BOOK SEAL ON THE BAG WAS RECORDED IMPROPERLY AND THAT THE TOTAL NUMBER OF VOTES ONLY TOTALED 100 AS OPPOSED TO THE 102 RECORDED IN THE POLL BOOK. THE BALLOTS WERE PLACED BACK INTO THE BALLOT BAG AND SEALED WITH SEAL 583011. THE FORMER SEALS IN QUESTION WERE PLACED IN AN ENVELOPE AND RETAINED BY COUNTY CLERK, BARBARA ALBERTSON. THE CAN WAS SEALED WITH SEAL 583004 TO CONCLUDE THE CITY OF AUBURN RECOUNT.

FRANKENLUST TOWNSHIP

THE BOARD OF CANVASS MEMBERS FOUND THAT THE FRANKENLUST TOWNSHIP BALLOT CAN HAD BEEN PROPERLY SEALED BEFORE BREAKING THE SEAL NUMBER 577029. THEY REMOVED THE BALLOT BAG TO FIND THAT IT HAD ALSO BEEN SEALED IN THE PROPER MANNER WITH METAL SEAL 577028. THE RETURN SHEET INDICATED THAT A TOTAL OF 244 VOTES HAD BEEN CAST IN THAT TOWNSHIP. UPON FURTHER REVIEW AND DIVISION OF THE BALLOTS, THE CANVASSERS HAD NO CHALLENGED NOR SPOILED BALLOTS TO QUESTION. THE BREAKDOWN OF THE BALLOTS WERE AS FOLLOWS:

8 VOTES	-	YES/SPLIT/PARTISAN
11 VOTES	-	NO/SPLIT/PARTISAN
73 VOTES	-	IN FAVOR OF THE PROPOSAL
101 VOTES	-	AGAINST THE PROPOSAL
51 VOTES	-	NON-VOTED
<u>244 VOTES</u>	-	COMBINED

THIS WAS AN ACTUAL TOTAL OF 81 YES VOTES, 112 NO VOTES, AND 51 NON-COUNTED BALLOTS. THE CITY ATTORNEY ASKED TO CHALLENGE THE SPLIT BALLOTS FOR THE RECORD. THE MATERIALS WERE THEN PLACED INTO THE BALLOT CAN AND RESEALED WITH SEAL 583024. THE BALLOT BAG THEN RETURNED TO THE BALLOT CAN AND SEALED WITH METAL TAG 583002.

MONITOR TOWNSHIP

UPON ARRIVING AT MONITOR TOWNSHIP, THE BOARD OF CANVASSERS DISCOVERED TWO BALLOT CANS FOR REVIEW. THE BOARD MEMBERS WERE TOLD BY THE TOWNSHIP CLERK THAT ONE OF THE CANS HAD CONTAINED ONLY THE RETURN ENVELOPES FROM THE BALLOTS AND THAT IT WAS NOT NECESSARY TO BREAK THE CAN OR BAG SEALS TO REVIEW THOSE MATERIALS. THE CAN CONTAINING THE BALLOT


ENVELOPES WAS SEALED WITH METAL TAG 58432 AND BAG METAL SEAL NUMBER OF 256306 PER THE POLL BOOK RECORD. THE CAN CONTAINING THE BALLOTS WAS INSPECTED TO BE FOUND PROPERLY SEALED WITH SEAL NUMBER 256385. THE BALLOT BAG WAS REMOVED AND THE SEAL HAD BEEN CONFIRMED WITH THE POLL BOOK OF 584600, BEFORE BEING BROKEN. THE DOCUMENTATION REVEALED THAT 316 BALLOTS HAD BEEN ISSUED BUT THAT FOUR HAD BEEN SPOILED BY REASONS LISTED. THE TOTAL NUMBER OF RETURNED BALLOTS WAS THEREFORE 312. UPON FURTHER REVIEW AND DIVISION OF THE BALLOTS ATTORNEY M. KOLKA CHALLENGED TWO BALLOTS WHICH WERE MARKED EXHIBITS ONE AND TWO. THE FIRST BALLOT WAS CHALLENGED BY THE ATTORNEY WHO COULD NOT DETERMINE IF THE VERTEX OF THE CHECK WAS IN THE BALLOT BOX FOR VOTING. THE CANVASSERS REVIEWED THE MARKING AND FOUND THAT IT WAS AN ACCEPTABLE VOTE BY A VOTE OF 4 YEAS, 0 NAYS. THE SECOND BALLOT, EXHIBIT TWO, WHICH HAD BEEN CHALLENGED BY THE ATTORNEY WAS SOMEWHAT SCRIBBLED INTO THE VOTING BOX. UPON CLOSE INSPECTION BY THE BOARD OF CANVASSERS, IT WAS DETERMINED THAT THE SCRIBBLE TYPE MARKING DID INDEED INTERSECT IN THE BOX FOR VOTING. THE VOTE WAS ACCEPTED BY THE BOARD OF CANVASSERS BY A VOTE OF 4 YEAS, 0 NAYS. THE CANVASSERS ALSO DISCOVERED TWO BALLOTS WHICH THE VOTER CHANGED HIS/HER MIND WHEN CASTING THE VOTE, AND INITIALED THE SWITCHING OF THE VOTE. SINCE THE INITIALS OF R.J.S. ON ONE BALLOT AND L.C.H. ON ANOTHER, WOULD INDICATE IDENTITY, BOTH OF THE BALLOTS WERE CONSIDERED SPOILED BY THE CANVASSERS AND THEREFORE REJECTED IN THE FINAL TALLY. THE BREAKDOWN OF VOTES WERE AS FOLLOWS:


19 VOTES	-	YES/PARTISAN/SPLIT
21 VOTES	-	NO/PARTISAN/SPLIT
2 VOTES	-	SPOILED/REJECTED
103 VOTES	-	IN FAVOR OF THE PROPOSAL
137 VOTES	-	AGAINST THE PROPOSAL
30 VOTES	-	NON-VOTED
<u>312 VOTES</u>	-	COMBINED

THIS WAS AN ACTUAL COMBINED TOTAL OF 122 YES VOTES, 158 NO VOTES, 2 SPOILED VOTES AND 30 NON-VOTED. SINCE THERE WERE NO OTHER BALLOTS FOR CONSIDERATION, THE MATERIALS WERE PLACED IN THE BALLOT BAG AND SEALED WITH METAL TAG NUMBER 583032. ONCE PLACED BACK INTO THE BALLOT CAN WERE SEALED WITH METAL TAG NUMBER 583014.


THE RECOUNT FOR THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 8, 1988 CONCLUDED AT 4:15 P.M. LATER THAT SAME DAY, BARBARA ALBERTSON, COUNTY CLERK, RECEIVED A TELEPHONE CALL AT APPROXIMATELY 5:15 P.M. FROM MR. MARK KOLKA, ATTORNEY REPRESENTING THE BAY CITY SCHOOLS. MR. KOLKA STATED THAT THE BAY CITY SCHOOL BOARD HAD DECIDED TO STOP THE RECOUNT. UPON RECEIVING A LETTER OF CONFIRMATION DATED SEPTEMBER 9, 1988, FROM MR. KOLKA, THE RECOUNT WAS OFFICIALLY STOPPED AND THE ORIGINAL TALLY OF VOTES WERE CERTIFIED PURSUANT TO MCLA 168.876.


DONALD CHEVALIER, CHAIRMAN


WALTER WOZNIAK, VICE-CHAIRMAN


RICHARD BRZEZINSKI, BOARD MEMBER


WALLACE NIELSEN, BOARD MEMBER


RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED
BARBARA ALBERTSON
BAY COUNTY CLERK